

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/755,337 | 01/05/2001 | Yu-Lin Chen | 510553.92217 | | |
| 75 | 90 01/07/2003 | | | | |
| Christopher M. Turoski | | | EXAMINER | | |
| FOLEY & LARDNER Firstar Center | | | CHANEY, CAROL DIANE | | |
| 777 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202-5367 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1745 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | WX 10 | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|----------|---|-------|--|--|--|--|
| , | | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/755,337 | | CHEN, YU-LIN | | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Carol Chan | <u> </u> | 1745 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 (| October 2002 | · . | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | | | | | | | | | |
| 3) | , | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-76</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-76</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | | |
| · · _ | on Papers | _ | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | - | pro-my with | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u> | 5 | | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | ·· | | | | |

Art Unit: 1745

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group IV in Paper No. 6, filed 24 October 2001 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Specification

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 42-75 have been renumbered 43-76.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 47, 50, 52, 53, and 65-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regards to claims 47, 50, 52, 53, 61, and 76 the terms "less than about...", "at least about..." and "greater than about..." are indefinite when there is nothing in the

Art Unit: 1745

record to provide any indication as to what range of specific activity is covered by the term "about". See *Amgen, Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co.* 18 USPQ2d 1016.

With regards to claims 65-76, while applicant may be his or her own lexicographer, a term in a claim may not be given a meaning repugnant to the usual meaning of that term. See *In re Hill*, 161 F.2d 367, 73 USPQ 482 (CCPA 1947). The term "coating means" in claims 65-76 is used by the claim to mean "a thin layer," while the accepted meaning is "an apparatus to provide a thin layer, i.e. roller, brush, sprayer, etc."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 39-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen, US Patent 5,858,575.

Chen discloses lead-acid battery grids formed by immersing a lead-calcium alloy substrate in a melt of one of tin, lead-antimony, lead-silver and lead-tin alloys. Because the substrate may be dipped into a melt after it has been formed into a grid, substantially all the surfaces of the network/grid will be coated. (Note Chen, column 2, lines 18-19 and column 6, lines 26-30.)

With regards to claim 42, any material, and any coating will be porous in the broadest meaning of the term.

Art Unit: 1745

With regards to claims 43-47, Chen teaches specifically that lead-tin alloy coatings having between 0.1 and 20% tin may be used, and coatings having 1 to 20 % by weight antimony and up to 2% by weight tin may be used. (Chen, column 4, lines 36-38 and 62-65.) In a specific example, a coating with a melting point of 590 °F is used. (Column 5, lines 34-35.)

With regards to claims 48-52, Chang discloses Pb-Ca-Sn alloy grids containing 0.08 wt% Ca and 1.5 wt% Sn. (Column 4, lines 46-48.)

With regards to claim 53, the limitation "at least about 0 to about 0.02 weight percent silver" is interpreted to encompass 0 weight percent silver, and thus this limitation is met by the Chang disclosure.

With regards to claim 54, the recitation of "a plurality of apertures *stamped…*" is interpreted as a product by process limitation. Without a showing of criticality, the means by which an aperture is formed is not considered to change the structure of the aperture. The patentability of a product is independent of how it was made. The burden is on applicants to show product differences in product by process claims. See Ex parte Jungfer 18 USPQ 1796, 1800 (BPAI 1991); Brystol-Myers Co. v. U.S. International Trade Commission 15 USPQ 2d 1258 (Fed. Cir. 1989); In re Thorpe 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and In re Best 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977).

With regards to claims 55-57, Figures 4 and 5 illustrate the location and orientation of the coatings.

With regards to claims 58-61, Chen specifically teaches lead-tin alloy coatings having between 0.1 and 20% tin may be used, and coatings having 1 to 20 %

Art Unit: 1745

by weight antimony and up to 2% by weight tin may be used. (Chen, column 4, lines 36-38 and 62-65.) In a specific example, a coating with a melting point of 590 °F is used. (Column 5, lines 34-35.)

With regards to claim 62, Chen illustrates in Figure 1 a frame element with a current collector lug (reference number 10).

With regards to claim 63, Chen discloses grids pasted with active material. (Column 6, lines 32-34.)

With regards to claim 64, figures 2 and 4 illustrate grids with two different transverse cross-sections.

With regards to claims 65-72. Chen discloses battery grids having a substrate with a plurality of wire elements forming spaced apart apertures and frame elements at the top and bottom of the grid. (See Figure 1.) The grid is pasted with active material; obviously the grid wires support the active material. (Column 6, lines 32-34.) The substrate is coated by immersing it in a melt of tin, lead-antimony, lead-silver or lead-tin. (Note Chen, column 2, lines 18-19 and column 6, lines 26-30.) The coating is interpreted as a "means for coating". As shown in Figures 2-5, the grids include planar surfaces having a coating.

With regards to claims 73-76, Chen specifically teaches lead-tin alloy coatings having between 0.1 and 20% tin may be used, and coatings having 1 to 20 % by weight antimony and up to 2% by weight tin may be used. (Chen, column 4, lines 36-38 and 62-65.) In a specific example, a coating with a melting point of 590 °F is used. (Column 5, lines 34-35.)

Art Unit: 1745

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mao, US Patent 3,929,513.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol Chaney whose telephone number is (703) 305-3777. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Carol Chaney Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1745

CC

December 27, 2002